

TRDC Climate and Sustainability Impact Assessment

This toolkit is a self-assessment to help officers think about how their policies, projects, procurements, commissioning and services can align with Three Rivers' Climate Emergency and Sustainability Strategy. It also supports report authors to draft the environmental implications section on decision reports, and procurement strategy reports.

How to use the tool

The self-assessment is intended to help officers reflect critically on their project or service's environmental impact. . It is a reflective tool, not a framework for approving or rejecting a decision, so it will work best if each question is considered honestly and carefully.

We envision this tool will be used early in the design of a project/policy/procurement to identify areas where environmental harms can be mitigated, and environmental benefits enhanced. If you would like advice, please discuss with your Head of Service, and contact the Climate and Sustainability Team if necessary. Once you are happy that your proposal is optimised, then complete this form, and copy the results in each section in to your decision report (committee/synopsis report) where applicable.

The next tab presents a set of questions about the proposal on a range of sustainability criteria. Each answer is colour-coded to indicate its environmental impact as below:



Colour code	Recommendation
Dark green (4)	Strong positive impacts for sustainability. Recommendation to proceed as is with this aspect.
Light green (3)	Some positive impact for sustainability. Recommendation to further enhance this aspect where possible and proceed.
Yellow (2)	Some negative impacts sustainability. Recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations where possible.
Red (1)	Considerable inconsistency with the council's sustainability objectives. Strong recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations.
Grey (0)	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.

Once you've selected your answer in the "Impact" column (C), then give the relevant score in the "Score" column (E). Higher scores indicate more sustainable proposals.

These questions should be considered for services, goods and projects we procure as well as those we deliver directly. Delivery models, specifications and tender evaluation should be shaped to ensure our contractors are aligned with our sustainability and net-zero commitments.

Against each area, the assessment presents prompts to highlight best practice suggestions and enable consideration of how negative impacts could be lessened on a project.

This assessment was inspired by Jim Cunningham at Hammersmith and Fulham Council and developed by officers of Three Rivers Distrcit Council.

Version Date

TRDC Climate and Sustainability Impact Assessment

Score / Colour Code	Impact and Recommendation
Dark green (4)	Strong positive impacts for sustainability. Recommendation to proceed as is with this aspect.
Light green (3)	Some positive impact for sustainability. Recommendation to further enhance this aspect where possible and proceed.
Yellow (2)	Some possible negative impacts for sustainability. Recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations where possible.
Red (1)	Considerable inconsistency with the Council's sustainability objectives. Strong recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations.
Grey (0)	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.

Guidance for use

Please answer all questions from the drop-down options in the 'impact' column (C), including 'not applicable' as needed.

Please email your completed copy of the form to
Joanna.Hewitson@threeivers.gov.uk.

Key to the colour coding of answers is given at the top of the page.

Name of project/policy/procurement and date	Croxleyhall Woods Management plan 2025-2030
Brief description (1-2 sentences):	A new five-year management plan has been developed for Croxleyhall Woods, Croxley Green. The new plan contains management actions for the woodland over the next five years from financial year 2025/26 until 2030/31. The detailed actions relate to woodland management for biodiversity and improvements to public access and interpretation.

Homes, buildings, infrastructure, equipment and energy				
Question	Impact (select from list)	Score (1 to 4)	Justification or mitigation	Revised Score (1-4)
1 What effect will this project have on overall energy use (electricity or other fuels) e.g. in buildings, appliances or machinery?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
2 What effect will this project have on the direct use of fossil fuels such as gas, petrol, diesel, oil?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
3 Does this project further maximise the use of existing building space? E.g. co-locating services; bringing under-used space into use; using buildings out-of-hours	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
4 Will any new building constructed or refurbished be highly energy efficient in use? (e.g. high levels of insulation, low energy demand per sq. m., no servicing with fossil fuels such as gas heating, EPC 'A' or BREAM 'excellent').	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
5 Does this make use of sustainable materials / unputs in your project? E.g. re-used or recycled construction materials; timber in place of concrete	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
6 Does this use more sustainable processes in the creation of the project? E.g. modular and off-site construction; use of electrical plant instead of petrol/diesel.	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
7 Will this increase the supply of renewable energy? e.g. installing solar panels; switching to a renewable energy tariff	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
8 Do any appliances or electrical equipment to be used have high energy efficiency ratings?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
Average Score		0.00		0.00

Ways to optimise sustainability and work towards net zero carbon:

- Insulate buildings to a high standard.
- Include energy efficiency measures when carrying out refurbishment to deliver improvement in EPC ratings.
- Replace gas boilers with renewable heating, such as heat pumps. Consider District Heat Networks where appropriate.
- Construct new buildings to Passivhaus standard.
- Design and deliver buildings and infrastructure with lower-carbon materials, such as recycled material and timber frames.
- Use construction methods that reduce overall energy use, such as modular, factory-built components, or use of electrical plant on-site.
- Install solar panels or other renewable energy generation, and consider including battery storage.
- Switch to a certified renewable energy provider e.g. utilise power purchase agreements (PPA)
- Use energy-efficient appliances.
- Install low-energy LED lighting.
- Install measures to help manage building energy demand, such as smart meters, timers on lighting, or building management systems.

Travel				
Question	Impact	Score (0-4)	Justification or mitigation	Revised Score (0-4)
9 Reducing travel: what effect will this project have on overall vehicle use?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
10 Will this project use petrol or diesel vehicles or EV, hybrid?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
11 Will this support people to use active or low-carbon transport? E.g. cycling, walking, switching to electric transport	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
12 Will it be easily accessible for all by foot, bike, or public transport, including for disabled people?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
13 Has the project taken steps to reduce traffic? Using e-cargo bikes; timing activities or deliveries to be outside peak congestion times	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
Average Score		0.00		0.00

Ways to optimise sustainability and work towards net zero carbon:

- Reduce the need to travel e.g. through remote meetings, or rationalising routes and rounds.
- Share vehicles or substitute different modes of travel, rather than procuring new fleet.
- Specify electric, hybrid, or most fuel efficient vehicles for new fleet or for services involving transport.
- Support users and staff to walk, cycle, or use public transport e.g. with cycle parking, training, incentives.
- Use zero-emission deliveries
- Model and mitigate the project's effect on traffic and congestion e.g. retiming the service or deliveries

Goods and Consumption				
Question	Impact	Score (0-4)	Justification or mitigation	Revised Score (0-4)
14 Has this project considered ways to reuse existing goods and materials to the greatest extent possible, before acquiring newly manufactured ones?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
15 Does it reduce reliance on buying newly manufactured goods? E.g. repair and re-use; sharing and lending goods between services or people; leasing or product-as-a-service rather than ownership	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
16 Does it use products and resources that are re-used, recycled, or renewable?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
17 Does it enable others to make sustainable choices within their lifestyles, or engage people about this?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
18 Is there a plan to reduce waste sent to landfill in manufacture?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
19 Is the material used able to be re-used, re-purposed, or recycled at end of its life?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
20 Has it taken steps to ensure any food it offers is more sustainable? E.g. less and high-quality (high welfare) meat and dairy; minimises food waste; seasonal produce, locally sourced.	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
Average Score		0.00		0.00

Ways to optimise sustainability and work towards net zero carbon:

- Procure goods through sharing, leasing, or product-as-a-service models rather than ownership.
- Use pre-owned and reconditioned goods, and reduce reliance on procuring new goods.
- Use recycled materials, and procure items that can be reconditioned or recycled at end-of-life.
- Use lifecycle costing in business cases to capture the full cost of operation, repair and disposal of an item.
- Ensure meat and dairy is high-quality, high-welfare.
- Design waste, including food waste, out of business models e.g. separating (and composting) food waste; replacing single-use items with reusable items.
- Use contact points with residents, community groups and businesses to engage and enable them to adopt low-waste, low-carbon behaviours.

Ecology				
Question	Impact	Score (0-4)	Justification or mitigation	Revised Score (0-4)
21 What effect does this project have on total area of non-amenity green/blue space? (Amenity green space = playing fields, play areas, sporting lakes etc. Non-amenity= e.g. woodland, grassland, wetland, gardens, lakes, rivers, ponds etc.)	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
22 Does the project create more habitat for nature? E.g. native plants, trees, and flowers	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		0
23 Does it make changes to existing habitats and have a negative impact on nature? E.g. use of pesticides, reduced extent and variety of plants, planting non-native species	Some positive impact for sustainability. Recommendation to further enhance this aspect where possible and proceed.	3		3
24 Does it help people understand the value of biodiversity, and encourage residents to support it in their private and community spaces?	Some positive impact for sustainability. Recommendation to further enhance this aspect where possible and proceed.	3		3
Average Score		3		3

Ways to optimise sustainability and work towards net zero carbon: (Seek advice from Landscapes Team if required)

- Avoid converting green space to hard surfacing.
- Use underutilised space for planting, such as green roofs and walls.
- Plant native plants and perennials, rather than non-native ornamental species, to encourage biodiversity.
- Reduce trimming of grass and hedges, and avoid use of synthetic pesticides.
- Provide space for animals e.g. long grass areas, bird boxes, bat boxes, 'insect hotels', ponds, hedging holes and passages, log piles
- Consider the ecological impacts from manufacture and use of procured goods, e.g. water pollution, water consumption; land use change for farming; pesticide use, organic/regenerative farming methods

Adaptation						<div>Ways to optimise sustainability and work towards net zero carbon: - Install water-saving devices in taps, showers and toilets - Re-use grey water in new developments. - Capture and re-use rainwater where possible e.g. water butts for use in car washing, watering garden, toilets - Ensure all new building or refurbishment (especially of homes) models and mitigates future overheating risk, with adequate ventilation and shading - Avoid increasing areas of hard surfacing. - Convert hard surfacing to green and permeable surfacing where possible, and install Sustainable Drainage systems (SUDS). - Plant drought-tolerant plants and mulch landscapes to avoid water loss through evaporation.</div>
Question	Impact	Score (0-4)	Justification or mitigation	Impact (select from list)	Revised Score (0-4)	
25 Does any planned project, construction or building use include measures to conserve water?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0	
26 Does anythe project , consider how to sustainably protect people from extreme weather?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0	
27 Has any planned building work or infrastructure considered how to mitigate flood risk? E.g. Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS); de-paving areas; green roofs	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0	
28 Does any planned infrastructure or building work increase the overall footprint of hard surfacing? (as opposed to green or permeable surfacing)	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0	
29 Has the project considered its own resilience to future extreme heat, flood risk, or water shortage?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0	
Average Score		0.0			0.00	
Engagement and Influence						<div>Ways to optimise sustainability and work towards net zero carbon: - Make every contact count, by using contact points with residents, businesses and community groups to promote understanding of the climate emergency.</div>
Question	Impact	Score (0-4)	Justification or mitigation	Impact (select from list)	Revised Score (0-4)	
30 Does this project raise awareness and understanding of the climate and ecological emergency, and the steps that people can take?	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0		Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.	0	
Average Score		0			0	
Total Overall Average Score		0.50			0.5	

Now assessment is complete copy and paste box into your business case, committee report. (under environmental implications 6) Whole assessment can be an appendix. Procurement tenders are expected to submit complete report with application.

0

Climate and Sustainability Impact Assessment Summary	
Homes, buildings, infrastructure, equipment and energy	0.00
Travel	0.00
Goods and Consumption	0.00
Ecology	3.00
Adaptation	0.00
Engagement and Influence	0
Total Overall Average Score	0.5

List 1

Strong positive impacts for sustainability. Recommendation to proceed as is with this aspect.
Some positive impact for sustainability. Recommendation to further enhance this aspect where possible and proceed.
Some possible negative impacts for sustainability. Recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations where possible.
Considerable inconsistency with the council's sustainability objectives. Strong recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations.
Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.

List 2

List 3

4	No	No
3	To some extent	N/A
2	N/A	
-1		
0	Yes	Yes

Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.
Strong positive impacts for sustainability. Recommendation to proceed as is with this aspect.
Some positive impact for sustainability. Recommendation to further enhance this aspect where possible and proceed.
Some possible negative impacts for sustainability. Recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations where possible.
Considerable inconsistency with the council's sustainability objectives. Strong recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations.

0
4
3
2
-1

Ok	Strong positive impacts for sustainability. Recommendation to proceed as is with this aspect.
Light green (3)	Some positive impact for sustainability. Recommendation to further enhance this aspect where possible and proceed.
Yellow (2)	Some possible negative impacts for sustainability. Recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations where possible.
Red (1)	Considerable inconsistency with the council's sustainability objectives. Strong recommendation to review these aspects and find mitigations.
Grey (0)	Neutral or not applicable. Recommendation to consider how benefits could be achieved in this area, but otherwise proceed.